

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,292	04/22/2004	Kunio Goto	12014-0017DV	7228
22902 75	590 07/13/2006		EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY			JOLLEY, KIRSTEN	
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		1762	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/829,292	GOTO, KUNIO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kirsten C. Jolley	1762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	•		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communicat NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	oril 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 and 18-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 18-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		<i>,</i>			
12)⊠ <i>a</i>)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been receited (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>10/361,556</u> . ved in this National Stage			
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
2) ☐ Notica 3) ⊠ Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail				

Application/Control Number: 10/829,292 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The claim objections set forth in the prior Office action have been withdrawn in response to Applicant's cancellation of claim 17 and corrections in claims 5 and 20.

2. Applicant's arguments filed April 24, 2006 have been fully considered. The 35 USC 102(b) rejections have been withdrawn in response to Applicant's arguments that claim 1 does not read on a heating step wherein a joint is merely heated to a given temperature, and that the claims taken in light of the specification require a first heating stage at a particular temperature and a second, separate heating stage at another temperature.

With respect to the 35 USC 103(a) rejections, Applicant argues that Tsuru teaches a range of 180-270 C, therefore it would not be obvious to set two different temperature ranges in two stages, one of which is outside that of Tsuru. The Examiner notes that Tsuru teaches a broader temperature range of 150-300 C in col. 1, lines 17-18. Therefore Tsuru's minimum temperature point of 150 C falls within Applicant's first claimed range. Further, Tsuru teaches that "The heating temperature may be arbitrarily determined in accordance with the properties of organic resin binder contained in the solid lubricant." Tsuru et al. also teaches "the method or condition of heating and baking is not limited to the specific example." Therefore it remains the Examiner's position that since multi-stage heating is a well known means for heating and curing coatings in the coating art that it would have been obvious for an engineer having ordinary skill in the art to have used multi-stage heating with the expectation of similar, successful results. The

Application/Control Number: 10/829,292 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

prior art references of Emch (US 6,579,575 and US 6,291,027) are cited to demonstrate the conventionality of using multi-stage heating processes for baking and curing organic coatings.

Applicant also argues that the specification has comparative evidence showing that unexpected results are attained when practicing the invention as compared to a single-stage heating process. These showings are convincing that unexpected results are attained in using two heating steps in place of a single step, particularly since Comparative Examples 1 and 2 are performed at heating temperatures of 150 C and 240 C within Tsuru's taught temperature range. However, the Examiner notes that the claims are not commensurate in scope with the showing. MPEP 716.02(d). Applicant claims use of any resin and any lubricating powder in claim 1, however unexpected results were only demonstrated with use of MoS₂ lubricating powder and polyamideimide or epoxy resin. The claims should be narrowed to reflect the showing of results, or Applicant should provide scientific arguments on the record as to why all resins and all lubricating powders would achieve similar unexpected results. Further, the experimental results also all comprise pretreatment steps, however these pretreatment steps are not claimed. The pretreatment steps should be claimed in claim 1, or reasoning provided on the record why such pretreatment steps are not necessary in achieving the unexpected results of the invention. In the absence of such a showing of unexpected results commensurate in scope with the claims, the 35 USC 103(a) rejections are maintained for the reasons discussed above and in the prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tsuru et al.

(US 6,027,145).

The claims remain rejected for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action, as well as

for the reasons discussed above in section 2.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art references of Emch (US 6,579,575 and US 6,291,027) are cited to

demonstrate the conventionality of using multi-stage heating processes for baking and curing

organic coatings.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/829,292

Art Unit: 1762

Page 5

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kirsten C. Jolley whose telephone number is 571-272-1421. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1762

kci